

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/482,327	01/14/2000	Jeffrey Dwork	52352-314 6835		
20277 7590 10/21/2003			EXAMINER		
MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY			PARTON, KEVIN S		
600 13TH STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3096			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2153	0	
			DATE MAILED: 10/21/2003	3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

nanj.		Application No	<u></u>	Applicant(s)				
•	•							
Office Action Summary		09/482,327		DWORK ET AL.				
•	onice Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	Kevin Parton	er sheet with the c	2153	tross			
Period fo		ppears on the cov	or street with the c	orrespondence add	11 e33			
THE - Exte efter - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a represent of the provider	I. 1.136(a). In no event, how eply within the statutory m d will apply and will expir ute, cause the application	wever, may a reply be tim iinimum of thirty (30) days e SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05	5 August 2003 .						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ 7	This action is non-	final.					
3) <u>□</u> Disposit	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the claims				e merits is			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1 and 4-21 is/are pending in the ap	pplication.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 4-21</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requir	ement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
• —	The specification is objected to by the Examir							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		-					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
•	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	ian priority under :	35 U.S.C. & 119 <i>(</i> a)-(d) or (f)				
•	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	g., p.,om, andor		,, (4) 5. (.,.				
,	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been red	ceived.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
* (3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International Esee the attached detailed Office action for a lie	iority documents I Bureau (PCT Rule	nave been receive 17.2(a)).	ed in this National s	Stage			
14)[] <i>A</i>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome:	stic priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional	application).			
) The translation of the foreign language packnowledgment is made of a claim for dome							
Attachmen	t(s)							
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	· —		y (PTO-413) Paper No(Patent Application (PTC				

Art Unit: 2153

٠,

DETAILED ACTION

1. The examiner withdraws the finality of the previous Office Action. A new grounds of rejection is stated below.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 08/05/2003 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 4-11, 18, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Daines et al. (USPN 6,192,422) in view of Joung et al. (USPN 6,628,613).
- 5. Regarding claim 1, Daines et al. (USPN 6,192,422) teach a system comprising:
 - a. A local bus (figure 1).
 - b. A host processor coupled to the local bus (figure 2, element 18).
 - c. A network interface for providing an interface between the local bus and a network medium (figure 2, element 18)
 - d. A memory coupled to the local bus, the memory having receive buffers allocated for receiving data from the network medium (figure 2).
 - e. The network interface including an automatic flow control mechanism for automatically controlling a flow of data from the network medium based on

Art Unit: 2153

availability of the receive buffers (figure 2, element 25; column 5, lines 25-29).

f. Wherein in a first flow control mode initiated when a flow control signal is at a first logic level, an automatic flow control mechanism is automatic flow control mechanism is configured to respond to a shortage of the receive buffers by automatically requesting a remote transmitter coupled to the network medium to suspend data transmission until a predetermined number of the receive buffers is available (column 7, lines 6-13).

Although the system disclosed by Daines et al. (USPN 6,192,422) shows substantial features of the claimed invention, it fails to disclose means wherein in a second flow control mode initiated when the flow control mode signal is at a second logic level, the automatic flow control mechanism is configured to respond to a shortage of the receive buffers by automatically requesting the remote transmitter coupled to the network medium to suspend data transmission for a predetermined time.

Nonetheless, these features are well known in the art and would have been an obvious modification of the system disclosed by Daines et al. (USPN 6,192,422), as evidenced by Joung et al. (USPN 6,628,613).

In an analogous art, Joung et al. (USPN 6,628,613) discloses a system for activation of flow control based on buffer availability wherein the automatic flow control mechanism is configured to respond to a shortage of the receive buffers by automatically requesting the remote transmitter coupled to the network medium to suspend data transmission for a predetermined time (column 3, lines 43-46; column 4, lines 17-20).

Art Unit: 2153

Given the teaching of Joung et al. (USPN 6,628,613), a person having ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized the desirability and advantages of modifying Daines et al. (USPN 6,192,422) by employing the use of a timer to determine when transmission from the transmitting node can resume. The system benefits by not having to spend processor time measuring the lower threshold of the buffer and then sending a message to the transmitting node. This saves processor time and network congestion. The benefit of having both the lower threshold and the time-based resumption of transmission can be used to differentiate between buffers utilized for different purposes. Those in extremely high traffic and critical applications may need to use the former, the lower priority applications may use the time-based method.

- 6. Regarding claim 4, Daines et al. (USPN 6,192,422) all the limitations as applied to claim
- 1. They further teach a management unit for managing receive buffers (column 6, lines 58-62).

Although the system disclosed by Daines et al. (USPN 6,192,422) shows substantial features of the claimed invention, it fails to disclose means wherein the buffers are specifically referred to by descriptors.

Nonetheless, these features are well known in the art and it would have been an obvious modification of the system disclosed by Daines et al. (USPN 6,192,422).

The Microsoft Press Computer Dictionary defines 'descriptor' as "...a piece of stored information used to describe something else, often in terms of structure, content, or some other property" (page 140, column 1). Since the flow control apparatus of the reference must have name and location information for the managed buffer, the function of a descriptor is inherent. Specifically calling this information a 'descriptor' does not further limit the claim. The use of

Art Unit: 2153

this identifying information benefits the system by allowing each buffer to be distinguished by name or location.

Regarding claim 5, Daines et al. (USPN 6,192,422) teach all the limitations as applied to claim 4. They further teach means wherein the automatic flow control mechanism is configured to detect availability of the receive buffers available for receiving data from the network medium (column 7, lines 6-13).

Although the system disclosed by Daines et al. (USPN 6,192,422) shows substantial features of the claimed invention, it fails to disclose means wherein the buffers are specifically referred to by descriptors.

Nonetheless, these features are well known in the art and it would have been an obvious modification of the system disclosed by Daines et al. (USPN 6,192,422).

The Microsoft Press Computer Dictionary defines 'descriptor' as "...a piece of stored information used to describe something else, often in terms of structure, content, or some other property" (page 140, column 1). Since the flow control apparatus of the reference must have name and location information for the managed buffer, the function of a descriptor is inherent. Specifically calling this information a 'descriptor' does not further limit the claim. The use of this identifying information benefits the system by allowing each buffer to be distinguished by name or location.

8. Regarding claim 6, Daines et al. (USPN 6,192,422) teach all the limitations as applied to claim 5. They further teach means wherein the automatic flow control mechanism is configured to automatically request the remote transmitter to suspend data transmission when the buffer availability drops below a first threshold value (column 7, lines 6-13).

Art Unit: 2153

Although the system disclosed by Daines et al. (USPN 6,192,422) shows substantial features of the claimed invention, it fails to disclose means wherein the buffers are specifically referred to by descriptors.

Nonetheless, these features are well known in the art and it would have been an obvious modification of the system disclosed by Daines et al. (USPN 6,192,422).

The Microsoft Press Computer Dictionary defines 'descriptor' as "...a piece of stored information used to describe something else, often in terms of structure, content, or some other property" (page 140, column 1). Since the flow control apparatus of the reference must have name and location information for the managed buffer, the function of a descriptor is inherent. Specifically calling this information a 'descriptor' does not further limit the claim. The use of this identifying information benefits the system by allowing each buffer to be distinguished by name or location.

9. Regarding claim 7, Daines et al. (USPN 6,192,422) teach all the limitations as applied to claim 6. They further teach means wherein the automatic flow control mechanism is configured to enable the remote transmitter to resume data transmission when buffer availability rises above a second threshold level (column 7, lines 18-26).

Although the system disclosed by Daines et al. (USPN 6,192,422) shows substantial features of the claimed invention, it fails to disclose means wherein the buffers are specifically referred to by descriptors.

Nonetheless, these features are well known in the art and it would have been an obvious modification of the system disclosed by Daines et al. (USPN 6,192,422).

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 09/482,327

Art Unit: 2153

The Microsoft Press Computer Dictionary defines 'descriptor' as "...a piece of stored information used to describe something else, often in terms of structure, content, or some other property" (page 140, column 1). Since the flow control apparatus of the reference must have name and location information for the managed buffer, the function of a descriptor is inherent. Specifically calling this information a 'descriptor' does not further limit the claim. The use of this identifying information benefits the system by allowing each buffer to be distinguished by name or location.

- 10. Regarding claim 8, Daines et al. (USPN 6,192,422) teach all the limitations as applied to claim 7. They further teach means wherein the second threshold value is higher than the first threshold value (column 7, lines 6-26). Please note that the reference and the claims use inverse notation to describe the thresholds, but they are the same. The claims refer to buffer availability, whereas the reference refers to the amount of the buffer that is occupied. According to the disclosure, the second threshold of the reference is lower than the first, but if put in the context of the claims, the buffer has a higher availability, thus it monitors a higher threshold of buffer availability.
- Regarding claim 9, Daines et al. (USPN 6,192,422) teach all the limitations as applied to claim 5. They further teach means wherein the automatic flow control mechanism is configured to automatically request the remote transmitter to suspend data transmission when the buffer availability drops below a preprogrammed threshold value (column 7, lines 6-13).

Although the system disclosed by Daines et al. (USPN 6,192,422) shows substantial features of the claimed invention, it fails to disclose means wherein the buffers are specifically referred to by descriptors.

Art Unit: 2153

Nonetheless, these features are well known in the art and it would have been an obvious modification of the system disclosed by Daines et al. (USPN 6,192,422).

The Microsoft Press Computer Dictionary defines 'descriptor' as "...a piece of stored information used to describe something else, often in terms of structure, content, or some other property" (page 140, column 1). Since the flow control apparatus of the reference must have name and location information for the managed buffer, the function of a descriptor is inherent. Specifically calling this information a 'descriptor' does not further limit the claim. The use of this identifying information benefits the system by allowing each buffer to be distinguished by name or location.

- Regarding claims 10, 18, and 21, although the system disclosed by Daines et al. (USPN 6,192,422) (as applied to claims 9, 17, and 19, respectively) shows substantial features of the claimed invention, it fails to disclose means wherein:
 - a. The automatic flow control mechanism is configured to enable the remote transmitter to resume data transmission after a preprogrammed time interval, if the available buffer is not less than the preprogrammed threshold value.
 - b. The buffers are referred to by descriptors.

Nonetheless, these features are well known in the art and would have been an obvious modification of the system disclosed by Daines et al. (USPN 6,192,422), as evidenced by Joung et al. (USPN 6,628,613).

In an analogous art, Joung et al. (USPN 6,628,613) discloses a system for activation of flow control based on buffer availability wherein the automatic flow control mechanism is configured to enable the remote transmitter to resume data transmission after a preprogrammed

Art Unit: 2153

time interval, if the available buffer is not less than the preprogrammed threshold value (column 3, lines 43-46; column 4, lines 17-20; column 5, lines 37-45).

Given the teaching of Joung et al. (USPN 6,628,613), a person having ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized the desirability and advantages of modifying Daines et al. (USPN 6,192,422) by employing the use of a timer to determine when transmission from the transmitting node can resume. The system benefits by not having to spend processor time measuring the lower threshold of the buffer and then sending a message to the transmitting node. This saves processor time and network congestion. The benefit of having both the lower threshold and the time-based resumption of transmission can be used to differentiate between buffers utilized for different purposes. Those in extremely high traffic and critical applications may need to use the former, the lower priority applications may use the time-based method.

Further, the Microsoft Press Computer Dictionary defines 'descriptor' as "...a piece of stored information used to describe something else, often in terms of structure, content, or some other property" (page 140, column 1). Since the flow control apparatus of the reference must have name and location information for the managed buffer, the function of a descriptor is inherent. Specifically calling this information a 'descriptor' does not further limit the claim. The use of this identifying information benefits the system by allowing each buffer to be distinguished by name or location.

Regarding claim 11, Daines et al. (USPN 6,192,422) teach all the limitations as applied to claim 5. They further teach means wherein the network interface is configured to store information indicating a read pointer of the host processor that points to a next buffer that should

Art Unit: 2153

be processed by the host processor (abstract; column 7, lines 6-13). Note that the reference uses a round robin technique to service buffers.

Although the system disclosed by Daines et al. (USPN 6,192,422) shows substantial features of the claimed invention, it fails to disclose means wherein the buffers are specifically referred to by descriptors.

Nonetheless, these features are well known in the art and it would have been an obvious modification of the system disclosed by Daines et al. (USPN 6,192,422).

The Microsoft Press Computer Dictionary defines 'descriptor' as "...a piece of stored information used to describe something else, often in terms of structure, content, or some other property" (page 140, column 1). Since the flow control apparatus of the reference must have name and location information for the managed buffer, the function of a descriptor is inherent. Specifically calling this information a 'descriptor' does not further limit the claim. The use of this identifying information benefits the system by allowing each buffer to be distinguished by name or location.

- 14. Claims 12-17, 19, and 20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Daines et al. (USPN 6,192,422).
- 15. Regarding claim 12, Daines et al. (USPN 6,192,422) teach a network interface device for providing an interface between a data network and a computer system, the device comprising:
 - a. A management unit for managing receive buffers allocated to receive data from the network medium (column 6, lines 58-62).
 - b. An automatic flow control mechanism for automatically performing flow control in accordance with buffer availability for receiving data from the

Art Unit: 2153

network medium (figure 2, element 25; column 5, lines 25-29; column 7, lines 6-13).

Although the system disclosed by Daines et al. (USPN 6,192,422) shows substantial features of the claimed invention, it fails to disclose means wherein the buffers are specifically referred to by descriptors.

Nonetheless, these features are well known in the art and it would have been an obvious modification of the system disclosed by Daines et al. (USPN 6,192,422).

The Microsoft Press Computer Dictionary defines 'descriptor' as "...a piece of stored information used to describe something else, often in terms of structure, content, or some other property" (page 140, column 1). Since the flow control apparatus of the reference must have name and location information for the managed buffer, the function of a descriptor is inherent. Specifically calling this information a 'descriptor' does not further limit the claim. The use of this identifying information benefits the system by allowing each buffer to be distinguished by name or location.

- Regarding claim 13, Daines et al. (USPN 6,192,422) teach all the limitations as applied to claim 12. They further teach means wherein the receive buffers are arranged in a memory of the computer system (figure 1).
- 17. Regarding claim 14, Daines et al. (USPN 6,192,422) teach all the limitations as applied to claim 12. They further teach means wherein the automatic flow control mechanism is configured to automatically request a remote station in the data network to suspend data transmission with the buffer availability drops below a first threshold value (column 7, lines 6-13).

Art Unit: 2153

Although the system disclosed by Daines et al. (USPN 6,192,422) shows substantial features of the claimed invention, it fails to disclose means wherein the buffers are specifically referred to by descriptors.

Nonetheless, these features are well known in the art and it would have been an obvious modification of the system disclosed by Daines et al. (USPN 6,192,422).

The Microsoft Press Computer Dictionary defines 'descriptor' as "...a piece of stored information used to describe something else, often in terms of structure, content, or some other property" (page 140, column 1). Since the flow control apparatus of the reference must have name and location information for the managed buffer, the function of a descriptor is inherent. Specifically calling this information a 'descriptor' does not further limit the claim. The use of this identifying information benefits the system by allowing each buffer to be distinguished by name or location.

18. Regarding claims 15 and 20, Daines et al. (USPN 6,192,422) teach all the limitations as applied to claims 14 and 19, respectively. They further teach means wherein the automatic flow control mechanism is configured to enable the remote transmitter to resume data transmission when buffer availability rises above a second threshold level (column 7, lines 18-26).

Although the system disclosed by Daines et al. (USPN 6,192,422) shows substantial features of the claimed invention, it fails to disclose means wherein the buffers are specifically referred to by descriptors.

Nonetheless, these features are well known in the art and it would have been an obvious modification of the system disclosed by Daines et al. (USPN 6,192,422).

Art Unit: 2153

The Microsoft Press Computer Dictionary defines 'descriptor' as "... a piece of stored information used to describe something else, often in terms of structure, content, or some other property" (page 140, column 1). Since the flow control apparatus of the reference must have name and location information for the managed buffer, the function of a descriptor is inherent. Specifically calling this information a 'descriptor' does not further limit the claim. The use of this identifying information benefits the system by allowing each buffer to be distinguished by name or location.

- 19. Regarding claim 16, Daines et al. (USPN 6,192,422) teach all the limitations as applied to claim 15. They further teach means wherein the second threshold value is higher than the first threshold value (column 7, lines 6-26). Please note that the reference and the claims use inverse notation to describe the thresholds, but they are the same. The claims refer to buffer availability, whereas the reference refers to the amount of the buffer that is occupied. According to the disclosure, the second threshold of the reference is lower than the first, but if put in the context of the claims, the buffer has a higher availability, thus it monitors a higher threshold of buffer availability.
- 20. Regarding claim 17, Daines et al. (USPN 6,192,422) teach all the limitations as applied to claim 12. They further teach means wherein the automatic flow control mechanism is configured to automatically request the remote transmitter to suspend data transmission when the buffer availability drops below a preprogrammed threshold value (column 7, lines 6-13).

Although the system disclosed by Daines et al. (USPN 6,192,422) shows substantial features of the claimed invention, it fails to disclose means wherein the buffers are specifically referred to by descriptors.

Art Unit: 2153

Nonetheless, these features are well known in the art and it would have been an obvious modification of the system disclosed by Daines et al. (USPN 6,192,422).

The Microsoft Press Computer Dictionary defines 'descriptor' as "...a piece of stored information used to describe something else, often in terms of structure, content, or some other property" (page 140, column 1). Since the flow control apparatus of the reference must have name and location information for the managed buffer, the function of a descriptor is inherent. Specifically calling this information a 'descriptor' does not further limit the claim. The use of this identifying information benefits the system by allowing each buffer to be distinguished by name or location.

- 21. Regarding claim 19, Daines et al. (USPN 6,192,422) teach a system of automatic flow control in a network interface between a data network and a computer system with means for:
 - a. Monitoring the buffers in the computer system available for receiving data from the network (column 5, lines 25-29).
 - b. Automatically requesting a remote station in the data network to suspend data transmission when the buffer availability drops below a first preprogrammed threshold level (column 7, lines 6-13).

Although the system disclosed by Daines et al. (USPN 6,192,422) shows substantial features of the claimed invention, it fails to disclose means wherein the buffers are specifically referred to by descriptors.

Nonetheless, these features are well known in the art and it would have been an obvious modification of the system disclosed by Daines et al. (USPN 6,192,422).

Art Unit: 2153

The Microsoft Press Computer Dictionary defines 'descriptor' as "...a piece of stored information used to describe something else, often in terms of structure, content, or some other property" (page 140, column 1). Since the flow control apparatus of the reference must have name and location information for the managed buffer, the function of a descriptor is inherent. Specifically calling this information a 'descriptor' does not further limit the claim. The use of this identifying information benefits the system by allowing each buffer to be distinguished by name or location.

Conclusion

- 22. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Please see the following:
 - a. Johnson et al. (USPN 5,822,300)
 - b. Lin et al. (USPN 6,405,256)
 - c. Furuya (USPN 6,452,943)
 - d. Neet et al. (USPN 6,412,032)
 - e. Yin (USPN 6,219,728)
 - f. Loughran et al. (USPN 6,570,848)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Parton whose telephone number is (703)306-0543. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00AM - 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenton Burgess can be reached on (703)305-4792. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Art Unit: 2153

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-3900.

Kevin Parton Examiner Art Unit 2153

ksp

Dung C. Dinh Primary Examiner